UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/547,844	09/02/2005	Satoshi Sugahara	125209	2196	
	25944 7590 10/22/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			SANDVIK, BENJAMIN P		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
•	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/547,844	SUGAHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ben P. Sandvik	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing det of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au	<u>ugust 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-17,40-60,62-65,67-81 and 83-8	6 is/are pending in the apr	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 1-3,5-17,40-60,62-65,67-71,75,81,83-	-86 and 7680 is/are allowe	ed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72-74 and 77-79</u> is/are rejected.	D					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
		•				
Attachment(s)	-	(070.440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/547,844

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 8/16/2007, with respect to claim 75 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 75 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 72 and 77-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsu et al (U.S. Patent #6753562).

With respect to **claim 72**, Hsu teaches a transistor comprising a source and drain that are of a first conduction type (Fig. 3a, 102 and 103), and are formed with ferromagnetic semiconductors; a semiconductor layer that is provided associated with the source and the drain (Fig. 3a, 101), and has a channel of first conduction type formed therein (Fig. 3a, 100; and Col 6 Ln 52-55, all semiconductors may be the same conduction type); and a gate electrode that

Art Unit: 2826

is formed as opposed to the semiconductor layer (Fig. 3a, 104); wherein the ferromagnetic semiconductors in the source and drain are directly contacted with the semiconductor layer.

With respect to **claim 77**, Hsu teaches that when the relative magnetization of the ferromagnetic drain with respect to the ferromagnetic source is antiparallel magnetization, the drain current is lower than the drain current in a case of parallel magnetization (Col 9 Ln 3-8).

With respect to **claim 78**, Hsu teaches that the trans-conductance can be controlled in accordance with the relative magnetization direction of the ferromagnetic drain with respect to the ferromagnetic source (Col 2 Ln 61-65).

With respect to **claim 79**, Hsu teaches using the transistor, information being stored in accordance with the relative magnetization direction of the ferromagnetic drain with respect to the ferromagnetic source, the information stored in the transistor being detected based on the transconductance of the transistor depending on the relative magnetization direction of the ferromagnetic drain with respect to the ferromagnetic source (Col 2 Ln 61-65)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 73 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu, in view of Ohno et al (U.S. PG Pub #2001/0031547).

With respect to **claim 73**, Hsu does no teach that the semiconductor layer is formed with an undoped semiconductor or an intrinsic semiconductor. Ohno teaches that the semiconductor layer is formed with an undoped semiconductor or an intrinsic semiconductor (Paragraph 28, GaSb). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use undoped semiconductors in the transistors of Hsu as taught by Ohno in order to achieve the predictable result of forming a MOSFET.

Claim 74 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu, in view of Johnson.

With respect to **claim 74**, Hsu does not teach that the channel length is equal to or shorter than the mean free path associated with carrier energy relaxation. Johnson teaches that the channel length is equal to or shorter than the mean free path associated with carrier energy relaxation (Col 10 Ln 60-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the channel length as taught by Johnson in order to control the resistance between the source and drain.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3, 5-17, 40-60, 62-65, 67-71, 75-76, 80-81, and 83-86 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben P. Sandvik whose telephone number is (571) 272-8446. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on 571-272-1236. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bps

EVAN PERT PRIMARY EXAMINER